

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |              | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| 08/978,632   | 2 11/25/97  | 7 RABBANI            | E            | ENZ-53(C)           |  |
| _  |             | _                    |              | EXAMINER            |  |
| l  |             | HM12/0605            | ceu          | SCHMIDT.M           |  |
| RONALD C I   |             |                      | ART UNI      |                     |  |
| ENZO BIOCHEMICAL INC.<br>527 MADISON AVENUE<br>9TH FLOOR |             |                      | 163          | - · · · ·           |  |
| NEW YORK   | Y 10022     |                      | DATE MAILED: |                     |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

|   | Application No.  Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|
| Office Action Summary   | 08/978, 632 Rabbani et al.  Examiner Group Art Unit  |
| Office Action Cammary   | Examiner Group Art Unit 1635   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea  | rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address   |
| Period for Response   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE  |
| from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days  If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by de  | .136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  |
| Status  |  |
| $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $3/8/9$   | δ  |
| ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   |  |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19:  | for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 15 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |
| $\%$ Claim(s) $1-24 \in 245$  | is/are pending in the application.   |
| Of the above claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| ☐ Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |
| $\Box$ Claim(s) $1-24 \stackrel{?}{\epsilon} 245$   | is/are rejected.   |
| ☐ Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.  |
|   |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————  | are subject to restriction or election   |
|   | are subject to restriction or election requirement.  |
| □ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————  | requirement.   |
| ☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————  | requirement.  ng Review, PTO-948.  is   approved   disapproved.  |
| <ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> </ul>  | requirement.  ng Review, PTO-948.  is   approved   disapproved.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————  | requirement.  ng Review, PTO-948.  is   approved   disapproved.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————  | requirement.  ng Review, PTO-948.  is   approved   disapproved.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  | requirement.  ng Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  Is approved disapproved.  Instituted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).   |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obje □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the ceeived. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num   | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Indetention of the Examiner.  Indetention of the priority documents have been the priority do |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obje □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of received.  | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Indetention of the Examiner.  Indetention of the priority documents have been the priority do |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obje □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CE | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  If the priority documents have been  Der)  Iternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obje □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interval of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copie | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  If the priority documents have been  Der)  Iternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are obje □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Insection of the copies of the copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Insection of the copies of □ received in this national stage application from the Insection of the copies not received: □ *Certified copies no | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  If the priority documents have been  Der)  ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |
| □ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Instantantal *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  | requirement.  Ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  If the priority documents have been  Der)  ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/978,632

Art Unit: 1635

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. The request filed on 3/08/00 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37

CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/978,632 is acceptable and a CPA has been

established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-24 and 245 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled

in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

invention for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official action mailed 02/03/99 and

11/08/99.

3. Claims 1-24 and 245 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey

to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official

action mailed 02/03/99 and 11/08/99.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/978,632

Art Unit: 1635

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer et al. for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official action mailed 02/03/99 and 11/08/99.

This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/978,632. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Mary M. Schmidt*, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4471.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *George Elliott, Ph.D.* may be reached at (703) 308-4003.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

George C. Elliott, Ph.D.
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

Swy ! Elliott

M. M. Schmidt June 2, 2000